Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Chem. Sci.), Vol. 113, No. 2, April 2001, pp 89–94 © Indian Academy of Sciences

Metal-selenium interactions. Crystal structure of binuclear [(¹**h**-iodo)-(²**h**-**m**iodo) (triphenyl selenophosphorane-Se)mercury(II)]₂ complex

TARLOK S LOBANA¹*, AMARJEET SINGH¹, MANDEEP KAUR¹ and ALFONSO CASTINEIRAS²

¹Department of Chemistry, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar 143 005, India

²Departmento de Quimica Inorganica, Facultade de Farmacia, Universidade de Santiago, 15706, Santiago, Spain e-mail: tarlok@angelfire.com

MS received 11 September 2000; revised 13 November 2000

Abstract. Reaction of mercury(II) diiodide with triphenyl selenophosphorane–Se (1:1 mole ratio) in acetone followed by recrystallisation of the product from chloroform formed crystals of stoichiometry $\{HgI_2(Ph_3PSe)\}(1)$. Compound 1 exists as a centrosymmetric homobimetallic dimer, $\{Hg(mI)I(Ph_3PSe)\}_2$, as monoclinic crystals of space group $P2_1/n$. The dimer comprises two m-iodo atoms that form unequal Hg–I bonds $\{2.8230(10), 3.1135(9) \text{ Å}\}$ and two equal terminal Hg–I bonds $\{2.6524(10) \text{ Å}\}$. The Se atom of Ph₃PSe forms terminal Hg–Se bond $\{2.5914(11)\text{ Å}\}$ and thus the geometry about each Hg centre is distorted tetrahedral and the range of tetrahedral bond angles is 92.97(2) to $130.85(3)^{\circ}$, the largest being that of Se(1)–Hg(1)–I(1) and the shortest, I(2)–Hg(1)–I(2)*. Hg–Hg and I–I separations of $\{4.0930(11)\text{ Å}\}$ and $\{4.3097(15)\text{ Å}\}$ are more than the sums of their respective van der Waal radii $\{3.00 \text{ Å}$ and $4.24 \text{ Å}\}$.

Keywords. Binuclear complex; mercury(II) iodide; triphenyl seleno-phosphorane-Se; centrosymmetric; phosphine chalcogenides.

1. Introduction

The coordination chemistry of tertiary phosphine chalcogenides bearing S or Se donor atoms is important from the point of view of their interesting bonding properties and novel structures, as well as their application in catalysis and extractive metallurgy ^{1,2}. Whereas molecular structures of several complexes of phosphine sulphides have been reported, there are only a few reports on those of metal complexes with tertiary phosphine selenides ³⁻⁵. The major reason for the paucity of studies on the structural chemistry of phosphine selenide complexes is the poor solubility of the complexes ^{1,2}.

We have been interested in the spectral and structural chemistry of metal–sulphur interactions involving phosphine sulphides and other N, S donors derived from heterocyclic thiones and thiosemicarbazones⁶⁻²¹. The work on phosphine selenide reactions with metal salts was undertaken both as an extension of our interest in the

^{*}For correspondence

90 Tarlok S Lobana et al.

structural chemistry of metal–selenium interactions and their importance in metal– organic chemical vapour deposition²². In this paper, the crystal and molecular structure of mercury(II) diiodide complex with triphenyl selenophosphorane–Se is reported.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials and techniques

Triphenylphosphine selenide (Ph_3PSe) was prepared by the oxidation of triphenyl phosphine (Ph_3P) using selenium metal (1:1 mole ratio) in benzene solvent¹. Mercury(II) iodide and Ph_3P were procured from standard firms.

The title complex was prepared as follows. A solution of Ph₃PSe (0.075 g, 0.220 mmol) in acetone (30 ml) was added to a solution of mercury(II) iodide (0.100 g, 0.220 mmol) in acetone (15 ml) and the contents were stirred for 5 h. The solution was filtered and allowed to solidify at room temperature. On recrystallisation from chloroform, colourless crystals were formed. Yield, 60(%); m.p. (°C) 222–225. Analysis: $(C_{36}H_{30}Hg_2I_4P_2Se_2)$ Found C, 27.36, H, 2.25%; Calcd.: C, 27.16, H, 1.88%. The C, H elemental analyses were obtained using a Carlo–Erba 1108 microanalyser. Melting point was determined with a Gallenkamp electrically heated apparatus. IR spectra were recorded using KBr pellets on a Pye–Unicam SP3-300 Infrared Spectrophotometer in the range 4000–400 cm⁻¹.

2.2 X-ray data collection and reduction

A colourless prismatic crystal of $\{Hg(\mathbf{m})|I(Ph_3PSe)\}_2(1)$ was mounted on a glass fibre and used for data collection. Cell constants and orientation matrix for data collection were obtained by least squares refinement of the diffraction data from 25 reflections in the range of $9.485 < \mathbf{q} < 12.872^{\circ}$ in an Enraf Nonius CAD 4 automatic diffractometer²³. Data were collected at 293 K using MoK_a radiation ($\mathbf{l} = 0.71073$ Å) and by the **w** scan technique and corrected for Lorentz and polarisation effects²⁴. A semi-empirical absorption correction (**y**-scan) was made²⁵.

The structure was solved by direct methods ²⁶ and subsequent difference Fourier maps, and refined on F^2 by a full-matrix least squares procedure using anisotropic displacement parameters ²⁷. All hydrogen atoms were fixed in their calculated positions (C–H 0·93– 0·94 Å) and were refined using a riding model. Atomic scattering factors were used from international tables for X-ray crystallography ²⁸. Molecular graphics were taken from PLATON 98 ²⁹. A summary of the crystal data, experimental details and refinement results are listed in table 1.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 General comments

Mercury(II) is a soft Lewis acid and is known to prefer soft Lewis bases such as the ones containing S or Se donor atoms. The IR spectra of the ligand and the complex were different and the diagnostic $\mathbf{m}P$ =Se peak appears at 550 cm⁻¹ in the ligand and at 540 cm⁻¹ in the complex, supporting coordination by the ligand via the Se atom. The shift is small in view of the low sensitivity of the heavier Se atom to changes on coordination to

 Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for (1).

Empirical formula	$C_{36}H_{30}Hg_2I_4P_2Se_2$
Formula weight (M)	1591.24
Temperature (K)	293(2)
Wavelength (Å)	0.71073
Crystal system	monoclinic
Space group	$P2_{1}/n$
Unit cell dimensions	
a(Å)	10.477(2)
$b(\text{\AA})$	13.525(3)
c(Å)	14.709(2)
b (°)	92.21(2)
Volume ($Å^3$)	2082.9(7)
Z	2
D_{calcd} (Mg m ⁻³)	2.537
Absorption coefficient (mm ⁻¹)	12.175
<i>F</i> (000)	1432
Crystal size (mm)	$0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.15$
Theta range for data collection (°)	2.05 to 27.97
Index ranges	$-13 \le h \le 13, 0 \le k \le 17,$
	$-19 \le l \le 0$
Reflections collected	5208
Independent reflections	5023 $[R_{int} = 0.0520]$
Max. and min. transmission	0.980 and 0.662
Data/restraints/parameters	5023/0/208
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	0.934
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\mathbf{s}(I)]$	R1 = 0.0427, wR2 = 0.0765
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1923, wR2 = 0.1009
Largest diff. peak and hole $(e^{\text{Å}^{-3}})$	0.879 and -2.314

a metal centre. The structure has been solved by X-ray crystallography in order to establish the nature of the Hg–Se interaction.

3.2 Description of crystal structure of (1)

The atomic numbering scheme of $\{Hg(\mathbf{m}I)I(Ph_3PSe)\}_2(1)$ is shown in figure 1. Table 2 contains important bond lengths and bond angles. The basic unit is $\{HgI_2(Ph_3PSe)\}$ which dimerises to form 1 but there is no further interaction between the dimeric units.

One Se atom of the Ph₃PSe and two iodine atoms coordinate to Hg atom forming the unit {HgI₂(Ph₃PSe} and this interacts with a second unit via iodine atoms to form the homobimetallic dimer [(I)(Ph₃PSe)Hg-(ml)₂-Hg(Ph₃PSe)(I)] containing terminal Ph₃PSe and I groups in *trans* disposition. The angles around each Hg atom vary from 92·97(2) to $130\cdot85(3)^\circ$, the largest being that of Se(1)–Hg(1)–I(1) and the shortest, I(2)*–Hg(1)–I(2). The geometry around each Hg centre is distorted tetrahedral. The shortest angle in the central unit Hg₂I₂ is that formed at the bridging iodine atoms, equal to $87\cdot03(3)^\circ$. The angle Hg(1)–Se(1)–P(1) = $98\cdot04(7)^\circ$ at the Se atom is smaller than a tetrahedral angle due to the presence of a lone pair of electrons on the Se atom and also due to the multiple bond character of the P–Se bond. The geometry around each P atom is also distorted tetrahedral and the angles around the P atom vary from $106\cdot1(4)$ to $112\cdot7(3)$.

Tarlok S Lobana et al.

92

Bond lengths			
Hg(1)–Se(1)	2.5914(11)	I(2)–I(2)*	4.3097(15)
Hg(1)-I(1)	2.6524(10)	P(1)-Se(1)	2.173(3)
Hg(1)–I(2)*	2.8230(10)	P(1)–C(11)	1.800(10)
Hg(1)-I(2)	3.1135(9)	P(1)–C(21)	1.810(8)
Hg(1)-Hg(1)*	4.0930(11)	P(1)–C(31)	1.786(9)
I(2)–Hg(1)*	2.8230(10)		
Bond angles			
Se(1)-Hg(1)-I(1)	130.85(3)	Hg(1)*-I(2)-Hg(1)	87.03(3)
Se(1)-Hg(1)-I(2)*	107.49(3)	P(1)-Se(1)-Hg(1)	98.04(7)
I(1)-Hg(1)-I(2)*	112.61(3)	C(31)-P(1)-C(11)	111.0(4)
Se(1)-Hg(1)-I(2)	101.99(4)	C(31)–P(1)–C(21)	106.9(4)
I(1)-Hg(1)-I(2)	103.09(3)	C(11)-P(1)-C(21)	106.1(4)
I(2)*-Hg(1)-I(2)	92.97(2)	C(31)-P(1)-Se(1)	111.9(3)
Se(1)-Hg(1)-Hg(1)*	111.43(3)	C(11)-P(1)-Se(1)	112.7(3)
I(1)-Hg(1)-Hg(1)*	115.94(2)	C(21)-P(1)-Se(1)	107.8(3)

Table 2. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles $[\circ]$ for (1).

*Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: -x + 1, -y + 1, -z.



Figure 1. The structure of $\{Hg(\mathbf{m}I)I(Ph_3Pse)\}_2(1)$ showing the numbering scheme.

The Hg(1)–Se(1) bond distance $\{2.5914(11) \text{ Å}\}$ is longer than that found in the analogous compound $\{\text{HgCl}_2(\text{Ph}_3\text{PSe})\}_2 2 \{\text{Hg}-\text{Se} = 2.527(3) \text{ Å}\}^3$ and these distances are much smaller than the sum of the van der Waal radii $\{3.40 \text{ Å}\}$ and also the sum of the radii of Hg^{2+} and $\text{Se}^{2-}(3.00 \text{ Å})^{30}$. The P(1)–Se(1) bond distance of 2.173(3) Å is close to that found in $2 \{\text{P-Se} = 2.169(6) \text{ Å}\}$ and these distances are longer than that in free ligand

Ph₃PSe {P–Se = $2 \cdot 106(1)$ Å}³¹ and in turn all these coordinated and uncoordinated P–Se distances are smaller than the sum of the covalent radii of P and Se atoms { $2 \cdot 27$ Å}⁹. Obviously the double bond character of P–Se bond in the free ligand is reduced in the coordinated ligand. The dimer comprises two **m**-iodo atoms that form unequal Hg–I bonds { $2 \cdot 8230(10)$, $3 \cdot 1135(9)$ Å} and two equal terminal Hg–I bonds { $2 \cdot 6524(10)$ Å}. The Hg–Hg and I–I separations of { $4 \cdot 0930(11)$, $4 \cdot 3097(15)$ Å} are more than the sum of their van der Waal radii { $3 \cdot 00$, $4 \cdot 24$ Å} respectively. The Hg–Hg separation in **2** is $3 \cdot 87$ Å and obviously bulky iodine atoms in **1** push the Hg atoms outwards. The Hg–I bonds of the central Hg₂I₂ unit use *p*-orbitals for bonding and the terminal Hg–I and Hg–Se bonds use *s*- and *p*-orbitals.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial assistance from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi and the encouragement from the authorities of the Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar.

References

- 1. Lobana T S 1989 Prog. Inorg. Chem. 37 495
- 2. Lobana T S 1992 In *The chemistry of organophosphorus compounds* (ed.) F R Hartley (Chichetser: John Wiley and Sons) vol. 2, p. 409
- 3. Glasser L S D, Ingram L, King M G and McQuillan G P 1969 J. Chem. Soc. 2501
- 4. Lobana T S, Verma R, Mahajan R and Tiekink E R T 1999 Z. Kristallogr. NCS 214 513
- 5. Hussain M S 1986 J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res. 16 91
- 6. Lobana T S, Sandhu M K and Tiekink E R T 1988 J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1401
- 7. Lobana T S, Sandhu M K, Liddell M J and Tiekink E R T 1990 J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 691
- 8. Lobana T S, Singh R, Sandhu M K and Tiekink E R T 1991 J. Coord. Chem. 24 29
- 9. Lobana T S, Bhatia P K and Tiekink E R T 1989 J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 749
- 10. Lobana T S, Bhatia P K, Povey D C and Smith G W 1991 J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res. 21 9
- 11. Tiekink E R T, Lobana T S and Singh R 1991 J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res. 21 205
- 12. Lobana T S and Bhatia P K 1992 J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1407
- 13. Horn E, Lobana T S, Singh R and Tiekink E R T 1993 Z. Kristallogr. 205 291
- Lobana T S, Sanchez A, Casas J S, Castineiras A, Sordo J, Garica-Tasende M S and Vazquez-Lopez E M 1997 J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 4289
- 15. Lobana T S, Paul S and Castineiras A 1997 Polyhedron 16 4023
- 16. Lobana T S, Verma R, Singh R and Castineiras A 1998 Transition Met. Chem. 23 25
- 17. Lobana T S, Verma R and Castineiras A 1998 Polyhedron 17 3753
- 18. Lobana T S, Paul S, Hundal G and Obrai S 1999 Transition Met. Chem. 24 202
- Lobana T S, Sanchez A, Casas J S, Castineiras A, Sordo J and Garica-Tasende M S 1998 Polyhedron 17 3701
- 20. Lobana T S, Paul S and Castineiras A 1999 J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans 1819
- 21. Lobana T S, Verma R, Hundal G and Castineiras A 2000 Polyhedron 19 899
- 22. Mbogo S A, McWhinnie W R and Lobana T S 1990 J. Organomet. Chem. 384 115, and references cited therein
- 23. Nonius B V 1994 CAD 4-Express Software, Version 5.1/1.2 (Delft: Enraf Nonius)
- 24. Kretschmar M 1997 GENHKL Program for the reduction of CAD4 Diffractometer data, University of Tuebingen, Germany
- 25. North A C T, Phillips D C and Mathews F S 1968 Acta Crystallogr. A24 351
- 26. Sheldrick G M 1990 Acta Crysallogr. A46 467
- Sheldrick G M 1997 SHELXL-97 Program for the refinement of crystal structures. University
 of Goettingen, Germany
- 28. International tables for X-ray crystallography 1995 (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic) vol. C

94 Tarlok S Lobana et al.

- 29. Spek A L 1999 PLATON. A multipurpose crystallographic tool, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands
- Huheey J E, Keiter E A and Keiter R L (eds) 1993 *Inorganic chemistry: Principles of structure and reactivity* 4th edn (New York: Harper Collins)
 Codding P W and Kerr K A 1979 *Acta Crystallogr.* B35 1261